The two-dimensional space with a topological defect is a transverse section of the three-dimensional space with an Abrikosov–Nielsen–Olesen vortex, i.e. a gauge-flux-carrying tube which is impenetrable for quantum matter. Charged spinor matter field is quantized in this section with the most general mathematically admissible boundary condition at the edge of the defect. We show that a current and a magnetic field are induced in the vacuum. The dependence of results on the boundary conditions is studied, and we find that the requirement of finiteness of the total induced vacuum magnetic flux removes an ambiguity in the choice of boundary conditions. The differences between the cases of massive and massless spinor matters are discussed.
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The stress-energy tensor corresponding to the ANO vortex has diagonal nonvanishing components only:
\[ T_{zz} = T_{00} > 0, \quad 0 < -T_{rr} \ll T_{00}, \quad 0 < -r^{-2} T_{\varphi \varphi} \ll -T_{00} \] (see, e.g., [9]). The stress-energy tensor is a source of gravity according to the Einstein–Hilbert equation
\[ R_{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} R = 8\pi G T_{\mu \nu}, \] (2)

where \( R_{\mu \nu} \) is the Ricci tensor, \( R = g^{\rho \sigma} R_{\rho \sigma} \) is the scalar curvature, and \( G \) is the gravitational constant; we use the notations adopted in [10]. Taking the trace over Lorentz indices in (2), one gets that the space-time region of the vortex core is characterized by the positive scalar curvature, \( R > 16\pi GM_0 \), since \( T_{00} \) is positive there. The space-time outside the vortex core is flat \( (R = 0) \), but non-Minkowskian, with the metric given by the squared length element
\[ ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + \nu^{-2} r^2 d\varphi^2 + dz^2, \] (3)

where
\[ \nu = (1 - 4GM)^{-1}, \] (4)

\( M \) is the linear density of a mass stored in the core, which can be estimated to be of the order of the squared mass of the condensate field. A transverse \( (z = \text{const}) \) section of the outer space is a conical surface with the deficit angle equal to \( 8\pi GM \).

Quantum-field-theoretical models in the \( (2 + 1) \)-dimensional space-time exhibit a lot of interesting features such as the fermion number fractionization, parity violation, and flavor symmetry breaking (for the review, see [11, 12]). A regular configuration (i.e. a function continuous in the whole that can grow at most as \( O(|x - x_0|^{-2+\varepsilon}) \) \( (\varepsilon > 0) \) at separate points) of a magnetic field induces the fermion number in the vacuum of a quantized spinor matter field in the two-dimensional space (surface) which is pierced by the magnetic field strength lines; the fermion number density is proportional to the field strength, and the total fermion number is proportional to the total field flux [13]. The effect of a singular configuration of the magnetic field on the vacuum is quite different; the point where the field strength pierces the surface is punctured, and the total vacuum fermion number which is induced on the surface out of a puncture is periodic in the value of the total flux of the singular field configuration. This was realized in a rather general context in [14–16], where it was proven for the first time that the flux through the regions nonaccessible for the quantized spinor matter field induces the fermion number in its vacuum, thus providing a manifestation of the Aharonov–Bohm effect (that is characterized by the periodic dependence on the excluded magnetic flux) [1] in quantum field theory.

The case of the excluded magnetic flux is similar to the case of a topological defect in the form of the ANO vortex. In the last case, the role of a magnetic
field is played by the gauge field corresponding to the spontaneously broken symmetry, and the vacuum of a quantized spinor matter field exists out of the vortex core. As a first step, one can neglect the transverse size of the vortex and formally put the correlation length equal to zero. However, the issue of the choice of boundary conditions even for a vortex with the vanishing transverse size is of primary importance. This issue was not touched upon in [14–16], it was elaborated later with the use of the most general set of boundary conditions ensuring the self-adjointness of the relevant Dirac Hamiltonian operator. Namely, all vacuum polarization effects which are induced by a singular vortex in quantum spinor matter were obtained in [17–21] for the case of massive spinor and in [22–24] for the case of massless spinor. It should be noted that some vacuum polarization effects in the background of a singular vortex were considered earlier in [25–27] for particular boundary conditions; however, the results in [26,27] are actually erroneous, since the periodicity in the value of the flux of a singular vortex was overlooked in an endeavor to imitate the results which are appropriate for the case of regular field configuration.

As a next step, one has to take the conicity of space out of the vortex into account. This task was considered in a number of papers, see [28–35], for quantized both scalar and spinor matter fields, sometimes incompletely and inconclusively as regards to the case of massive matter. The last step is to take the nonvanishing correlation length into account, i.e. the transverse size of a vortex. This task was considered in [36–38] for quantum spinor matter under a specific boundary condition, and in [39–42] for quantum scalar matter under the Dirichlet boundary condition in space of arbitrary dimension.

The aim of the present work is to study the impact of a boundary condition of the most general form on the vacuum polarization effects which are induced by the ANO vortex in quantum spinor matter in (2 + 1)-dimensional space-time. Of primary interest are such characteristics of the vacuum, as current, parity-violating condensate and energy-momentum tensor [2], since the fermion number and angular momentum change sign under the transition to the inequivalent irreducible representation of the Dirac–Clifford algebra in (2 + 1)-dimensional space-time.

2 Current and Magnetic Field Which are Induced in the Vacuum
Postponing the consideration of parity-violating condensate and energy-momentum tensor to subsequent publications, we start with the induced vacuum current which is given by expression

\[ j(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum \text{sgn}(E) \psi_E^\dagger(x) \alpha \psi_E(x), \]

where \( \psi_E(x) \) is the solution to the stationary Dirac equation,

\[ H \psi_E(x) = E \psi_E(x), \]

\[ H = -i\alpha \left( \partial - i \vec{v} + \frac{i}{2} \omega \right) + \beta m, \]

\( \vec{v}(x) \) and \( \omega(x) \) are the bundle and spin connections, symbol \( \sum \) denotes the summation over the discrete part and the integration over the continuous part of the energy spectrum, and \( \text{sgn}(u) \) is the sign function \( (\text{sgn}(u) = \pm 1 \text{ at } u \geq 0) \). As a consequence of the Maxwell equation,

\[ \partial \times \mathbf{B}_1(x) = e j(x), \]

the magnetic field strength, \( \mathbf{B}_1(x) \), is also induced in the vacuum; here, the electromagnetic coupling constant, \( e \), differs in general from \( \hat{e} \). The total flux of the induced vacuum magnetic field is

\[ \Phi_1 = \int d\sigma \mathbf{B}_1(x). \]

In the background of the ANO vortex, the only one component of the bundle and spin connections is nonvanishing:

\[ V_\phi = \frac{\Phi}{2\pi}, \quad w_\nu = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r} \right)^{-1} \alpha_\phi \alpha_\nu, \]

and the Dirac Hamiltonian operator takes the form

\[ H = -i \left[ \alpha^\tau \left( \partial_\tau + \frac{1 - \nu}{2r} \right) \alpha^\nu \left( \partial_\nu - \frac{r \Phi}{2\pi} \right) \right] + \beta m, \]
where
\[ \alpha^r = \alpha_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i e^{-i\varphi} \\ -i e^{i\varphi} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]
\[ \alpha^\varphi = \frac{\nu}{r} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\varphi} \\ e^{i\varphi} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]
\[ \alpha = \frac{r^2}{\nu^2} \alpha^r \alpha^\varphi. \] (11)

Decomposing the function \( \psi_E(x) \) as
\[ \psi_E(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( f_n(r, E) e^{in\varphi/g} \right) g_n(r, E) e^{i(n+1)\varphi} \] (12)

(\( \mathbb{Z} \) is the set of integer numbers), we present the Dirac equation as a system of two first-order differential equations for radial functions:
\[
\begin{aligned}
-\partial_r + \frac{\nu}{r} \left( n - n_e - F + \frac{1}{2} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} f_n = (E + m) g_n, \\
\partial_r + \frac{\nu}{r} \left( n - n_e - F + \frac{1}{2} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} g_n = (E - m) f_n,
\end{aligned}
\]
(13)

where
\[ n_e = \left\lfloor \frac{\varphi}{2\pi} \right\rfloor, \quad F = \left\lfloor \frac{\varphi}{2\pi} \right\rfloor. \] (14)

\([u]\) is the integer part of the quantity \( u \) (i.e. the integer which is less than or equal to \( u \)), and \([u]\) \( = = u - [u]\) is the fractional part of the quantity \( u \), \( 0 \leq [u] < 1 \). Using (11) and (12), one gets \( j_r = 0 \), and the only component of the induced vacuum current,
\[ j_\varphi(r) = -\frac{r}{\nu} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{sgn}(E) f_n(r, E) g_n(r, E), \] (15)

is independent of the angular variable. The induced vacuum magnetic field strength is directed along the vortex axis,
\[ B_1(r) = e\nu \int_0^r \frac{dr'}{r'} j_\varphi(r'), \] (16)

with the total flux
\[ \Phi_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\nu} \int_{r_0}^\infty dr r B_1(r), \] (17)

where it is assumed without loss of generality that the vortex core has the form of a tube of radius \( r_0 \).

We prove that the most general boundary condition ensuring the self-adjointness of the operator \( H \) (10) is
\[ (I - i\beta \alpha^r e^{-i\theta} \alpha^\varphi) \psi |_{r=r_0} = 0, \] (18)

where \( \theta \) is the self-adjoint extension parameter. This condition is also the most general one ensuring the absence of the matter flux across the vortex core edge, i.e. the confinement of the matter field to the region out of the vortex core. Imposing the boundary condition (18) on the solution to the Dirac equation, \( \psi_E(x) \) (12), we obtain the condition for the modes:
\[ \cos \left( \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right) f_n(r_0, E) = -\sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4} \right) g_n(r_0, E). \] (19)

Using the explicit form of the modes satisfying (13) and (19), we derive the analytic expressions for the induced vacuum current, \( j_\varphi(r) \) (15), and the induced vacuum magnetic field, \( B_1(r) \) (16), in the case of \( \nu \geq 1 \) and \( 0 < F < 1 \), and in the case of \( \frac{1}{2} \leq \nu < 1 \) and \( \frac{1}{2} (\frac{1}{2} - 1) < F < \frac{1}{2} (\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}) \). The results can be presented in the form
\[ j_\varphi(r) = j_\varphi^{(a)}(r) + j_\varphi^{(b)}(r; r_0), \]
\[ B_1(r) = B_1^{(a)}(r) + B_1^{(b)}(r; r_0), \] (20)

where the whole dependence on \( r_0 \) is contained in \( j_\varphi^{(b)} \) and \( B_1^{(b)} \), moreover,
\[ \lim_{r_0 \to 0} j_\varphi^{(b)}(r; r_0) = 0, \quad \lim_{r_0 \to 0} B_1^{(b)}(r; r_0) = 0. \] (21)

The crucial point is the behavior of \( j_\varphi^{(b)} \) and \( B_1^{(b)} \) at \( r \to r_0 \). If
\[ \lim_{r \to r_0} j_\varphi^{(b)}(r; r_0) (r - r_0)^2 = 0 \] (22)

and, consequently,
\[ \lim_{r \to r_0} B_1^{(b)}(r; r_0) (r - r_0) = 0, \] (23)

then the flux \( \Phi_1 \) (17) is finite. A careful numerical analysis reveals that condition (22) is fulfilled in the cases \( \theta = 0 \) and \( \theta = \pi \) only. The case of \( F = 1/2 \) needs a special comment, because of the oddness in \( \theta \) in this case. Whereas the current and, consequently,
the induced magnetic field with its flux vanish at $\theta = 0$, they are nonvanishing and discontinuous in $\theta$ at $\theta = \pi$. Namely, we obtain

$$\Phi|_{F=1/2, \theta=\pi_{\pm}} =$$

$$= \pm \frac{e}{8m} 2^{2mr_0} [\Gamma(2, 2mr_0) - 4m^2 r_0^2 \Gamma(0, 2mr_0)], \quad (24)$$

where

$$\Gamma(z, u) = \int_{u}^{\infty} dy y^{-z} e^{-y}$$

is the incomplete gamma-function; in particular,

$$\lim_{r_0 \to 0} \Phi|_{F=1/2, \theta=\pi_{\pm}} = \pm \frac{e}{8m}$$

(25)

In the case of $F \neq 1/2$, the continuity in $\theta$ is maintained, and we obtain the following representation for the induced vacuum magnetic flux:

$$\Phi|_{\theta=\pi_{\pm}} = \Phi^{(a)}|_{\theta=\pi_{\pm}} + \Phi^{(b)}|_{\theta=\pi_{\pm}}, \quad F \neq 1/2, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\Phi^{(a)}|_{\theta=\pi_{\pm}} =$$

$$= \frac{e}{4\nu m} \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^{\lfloor \nu/2 \rfloor} \exp(-2mr_0 \sin(p\pi/\nu)) \frac{\sin[(2F - 1)p\pi]}{\sin[(p\pi/\nu)]} - \frac{\nu}{4N} (-1)^N \sin(2NF \pi) e^{-2mr_0} \delta_{p, 2N} \right\} +$$

$$+ \text{sgn} \left(F - \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{e}{8m} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{du}{\cosh^2(u/2)} e^{-2mr_0 \cosh(u/2)} \times$$

$$\times \left\{ \cos \left( \nu \left(F - \frac{1}{2}\right) \pi \right) \cosh \left( \nu \left(F - \frac{1}{2} - 1\right) \right) - \cos \left( \nu \left(F - \frac{1}{2} - 1\right) \pi \right) \cosh \left( \nu \left(F - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right) \right\} \times$$

$$\times \left[ \cosh(\nu u) - \cos(\nu u) \right]^{-1}, \quad (27)$$

$$\Phi^{(b)}|_{\theta=\pi_{\pm}} =$$

$$= \frac{e}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dv}{\sqrt{v^2 - m^2 r_0^2}} \times$$

$$\times \left\{ \text{sgn} \left(F - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left[ C_{\nu(F - \frac{1}{2})} (v) + C_{\nu(F - \frac{1}{2})} (v) \right] D_{\nu(F - \frac{1}{2})} (v) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{\nu(l + F - \frac{1}{2})} (v) D_{\nu(l + F - \frac{1}{2})} (v)}{C_{\nu(F - \frac{1}{2})} (v) D_{\nu(F - \frac{1}{2})} (v)} + \cdots \right\} \right\}$$

$$\times \left\{ C_{\nu(l + F - \frac{1}{2})} (v) D_{\nu(l + F - \frac{1}{2})} (v) + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_{\nu(l + F - \frac{1}{2})} (v) D_{\nu(l + F - \frac{1}{2})} (v)}{C_{\nu(F - \frac{1}{2})} (v) D_{\nu(F - \frac{1}{2})} (v)} + \cdots \right\}$$

$$+ C_{\nu(F - \frac{1}{2})} (v) D_{\nu(F - \frac{1}{2})} (v), \quad (28)$$

The case of massless quantized spinor field is characterized by certain peculiarities. First, there is the invariance under the transformation $\theta \to \pi - \theta$. Thus, the results are continuous in $\theta$, and their values at $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \pi$ coincide, in particular,

$$j_{\varphi}(r)|_{F=\frac{1}{2}, \theta=0} = j_{\varphi}(r)|_{F=\frac{1}{2}, \theta=\pi} = 0 \quad (32)$$

and

$$B_{1}(r)|_{F=\frac{1}{2}, \theta=0} = B_{1}(r)|_{F=\frac{1}{2}, \theta=\pi} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Second, instead of the exponential decrease, $j_{\varphi}$ and $B_{1}$ decrease as $r^{-1}$ at large distances from the ANO vortex. Consequently, the flux $\Phi_{1}$, see (17), is given by an integral which is linearly divergent at $r \to \infty$. Therefore, we have no choice but to introduce a cutoff $r_{\text{max}}$ and the restricted flux,

$$\Phi_{1}(r_{\text{max}}) = \frac{2\pi}{\nu} \int_{r_0}^{r_{\text{max}}} dr r B_{1}(r). \quad (34)$$
As follows from our numerical analysis of the integrand in (34) near the lower limit of integration, relation (22) is fulfilled, and the flux \( \Phi_{\nu(r_{\text{max}})} \) (34) is finite at \( \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \) only. We get immediately:

\[
\Phi_{\nu(r_{\text{max}})}|_{\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} = 0, \quad F = 1/2.
\]  

(35)

As to \( F \neq 1/2 \), although we obtain the analytic expression for \( \Phi_{\nu(r_{\text{max}})}|_{\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} \), for arbitrary \( r_{\text{max}} > r_0 \), the physically sensible case is that of \( r_{\text{max}} \gg r_0 \). Retaining only terms which are maximal in the latter case, we get the expression for the flux:

\[
\Phi_{\nu(r_{\text{max}})}|_{\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} = \frac{e r_{\text{max}}}{4 \nu} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin([2F - 1]p\pi)}{\sin^2(p\pi/\nu)} - \right. \\
- \frac{\nu}{4N} (-1)^N \sin(2NF\pi) \delta_{\nu, 2N} \left. \right\} + \\
+ \text{sgn} \left( F - \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{e r_{\text{max}}}{8\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{du}{\cosh^2(u/2)} \times \\
\times \left\{ \cos \left[ \nu \left( F - \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi \right] \cosh \left[ \nu \left( F - \frac{1}{2} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{\pi}{2} u \right) \right] \right. \\
- \left. \cos \left[ \nu \left( F - \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi \right] \cosh \left[ \nu \left( F - \frac{1}{2} \right) u \right] \right\} \times \\
\times [\cosh(\nu u) - \cos(\nu \pi)]^{-1} + O(e r_0), \quad F \neq 1/2, \quad (36)
\]

and the relation between the current and the magnetic field:

\[
\nu e j_\nu(r)|_{\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} = \frac{e}{\pi r_{\text{max}}^2} \frac{r_{\text{max}}}{r - r_{\text{max}}} B_1(r)|_{\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} = \\
= \frac{\nu}{\pi r_{\text{max}}^2} \Phi_{\nu(r_{\text{max}})}|_{\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}}, \quad r \gg r_0.
\]  

(37)

In particular, we get, in the case of \( \nu = 1 \),

\[
\Phi_{\nu=1(r_{\text{max}})}|_{\nu=1, \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} = \\
= \frac{e}{4} r_{\text{max}} \tan(F\pi) \left| F - \frac{1}{2} \right| \left( \left| F - \frac{1}{2} \right| - 1 \right) + O(e r_0)
\]

and

\[
\nu e j_\nu(r)|_{\nu=1, \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} = \\
= \frac{e}{r_{\text{max}} - r} B_1(r)|_{\nu=1, \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} = \\
= \frac{e}{4\pi^2} \tan(F\pi) \left| F - \frac{1}{2} \right| \left( \left| F - \frac{1}{2} \right| - 1 \right), \quad r \gg r_0. \quad (39)
\]

The last relation for the current was first obtained in [24] [see (10.6) in this reference, where the definition of the current differs by extra \( r^{-1} \)]. Note a discontinuity at \( F = 1/2 \), which is independent of \( \nu 
\]

\[
\lim_{F \rightarrow (1/2) \pm} e j_\nu(r)|_{\nu \neq \frac{\pi}{2}} = \pm \frac{e}{4\pi r}, \quad r \gg r_0.
\]

This is distinct from the case of quantized scalar field under the Dirichlet boundary condition, when the current is continuous and vanishing at \( F = 1/2 \) [44, 46, 47], see the appropriate expression from these references at \( m = 0 \) and \( \nu = 1 \):

\[
e j_\nu(r)|_{\text{scalar, Dirichlet}} = - \frac{e}{4\pi} \tan(F\pi) \left( F - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2. \quad (41)
\]

3. Discussion and Conclusion

The effects of conicity, which are characterized by the value of the deficit angle, \( 8\pi GM \), are negligible for the ANO vortices in ordinary superconductors, since the constant \( G \) is of order of the Planck length squared, and the quantity \( M \) is of order of the inverse correlation length squared. However, topological defects of the type of ANO vortices also arise in another field - in cosmology and high energy physics, where they attained the name of cosmic strings [48, 49]. Cosmic strings with \( 8\pi GM \sim 1 \) are definitely ruled out by astrophysical observations, but there remains a room for cosmic strings with \( 8\pi GM \sim 10^{-6} \) and less (see, e.g., [50]), although the direct evidence for their existence is lacking.

A recent development in material science also provides an unexpected link between condensed matter and high-energy physics, which is caused to a large extent by the experimental discovery of graphene - a two-dimensional crystalline allotrope formed by a monolayer of carbon atoms [51]. A single topological defect (disclination) warps a sheet of graphene, rolling it into a nanocone which is similar to the transverse section of a spatial region out of a cosmic string; carbon nanocones with deficit angles equal to \( N \theta \pi/3 \) (\( N \) is a possible number of sectors which are removed from the hexagonal lattice: \( N_d = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \), i.e. \( \nu = \frac{\theta}{\pi}, \frac{2\theta}{\pi}, 2, 3, 6 \)) were observed experimentally, see [52] and references therein. Moreover, theory also predicts saddle-like nanocones with the deficit angle taking negative values unbounded from below (sectors can be
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ПОЛЯРИЗАЦІЯ ВАКУУМУ
КВАНТОВАНОГО СПІНОРНОГО ПОЛЯ
ЗА НАЯВНОСТІ ТОПОЛОГІЧНОГО ДЕФЕКТУ
У ДВОВИМІРНОМУ ПРОСТОРІ

Р е з ю м е

Двовимірний простір з топологічним дефектом є поперечним зрізом тривимірного простору з вихором Абрикосова–Нільсена–Олесена, який являє собою непроникну вакуум для квантованої матерії трубку з потоком калібрувального поля. Заряджене поле спінорної матерії квантується в цьому зрізі, задовольняючи найбільш загальним математично допустимим граничним умовам. Показано, що струм та магнітне поле індукуються у вакуумі. Вивчається залежність результатів від граничних умов. Встановлено, що вимога скінченності повного індукованого вакуумного магнітного потоку усуває неоднозначність у виборі граничних умов. Обговорюються відмінності між випадками масивної та безмасової спінорної матерії.